

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 STEVE SHANNON, derivatively on behalf
12 of AMYRIS, INC.,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 JOHN G. MELO, ARTHUR LEVINSON,
16 RALPH ALEXANDER, PHILIPPE
17 BOISSEAU, JOHN DOERR, GEOFFREY
18 DUYK, SAMIR KAUL, PATRICK
19 PICHETTE, CAROLE PIWNICA, KEITH
20 KINKEAD REILING, FERNANDO
21 REINACH, NEIL RENNINGER, PETER
22 BOYNTON, JOEL CHERRY, JERYL
23 HILLEMANN, JEFFERSON LIEVENSE,
24 TAMARA TOMPKINS, and MARIO
PORTELA,

21 Defendants,

22 and

23 AMYRIS, INC.,

24 Nominal Defendant.

Case No. 3:13-cv-03694-WHO

**ORDER REGARDING SCHEDULE FOR
AMENDING COMPLAINT AND
DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO
COMPLAINT**


Filed: August 8, 2013

1 Having considered the Stipulation Regarding Schedule for Amending Complaint and
2 Defendants' Response to Complaint, and good cause showing,

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 4 1) Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint, or otherwise designate his current complaint
5 as the operative complaint, on or before November 15, 2013;
- 6 2) Defendants' deadline for responding to the complaint in this action shall be extended
7 such that Defendants shall answer, move to dismiss, or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's
8 operative complaint on or before January 14, 2014;
- 9 3) If Defendants file a motion to dismiss, Plaintiff shall file any opposition to that motion
10 on or before March 17, 2014; and
- 11 4) If Defendants file a motion to dismiss, Defendants shall file any reply regarding that
12 motion on or before April 16, 2014.

13 Dated: October 18, 2013

14 

15 WILLIAM H. ORRICK
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE